

Subject: RE: Increase auditing rqmts
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2007 9:40 PM
To: Voting Systems
Subject: Increase auditing rqmts

I hope the post election audit of randomly selected precincts can be increased from 1% (I think it is now) (plus a number to assure an audit of each ballot type). 1% is too small to audit anything reliably. Any detection of differences can be accepted as within tolerances, and not prove anything substantively.

I would like to see an increase to 5%. If that seems too high, then increase it to 3%, but add an option for any jurisdiction to audit a number in excess of 'whatever' to allow jurisdictions the option to verify in greater detail. This can be opposed by vendors, but it should do nothing more than assure election administrators of the validity of vendor claims. Also if a jurisdiction wishes to audit a greater % or # at its expense, create such an option. Right now ROVs only audit up to the legally required limit, and it ends there. If such a rule can be honored in regulation or law, then jurisdictions with questions or pressures can have an option to consider.

Also require such expanded audits to be publicly observed and reported.

thank you.

Member of SAVElections here.

Watch free concerts with Pink, Rod Stewart, Oasis and more. Visit MSN Presents today.

RE YEAHHH !!!

Subject: RE: YEAHHH !!!

Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2007 10:11 PM

To: Voting Systems

Subject: YEAHHH !!!

wonderfull ! This is why I voted for you. Unless the machines are bulletproof and verifiable how can we the people trust the results??
If I can be of any assistance to you in your pursuit of this please don't hesitate to let me know. Yours